## Lecture 12 -Matrix Models for Population Biology

## Resources:

Caswell, H. 2001. Matrix population models. $2^{\text {nd }}$ ed. Sinauer Associates, Inc. Sunderland, Mass.

Manley, B.F.J. 1990. Stage-structured population: sampling, analysis, and simulation. Chapman and Hall, New York.

Matrix Arithmetic

1. Addition and subtraction

Simply addition (subtraction) of the corresponding elements in the matrices. Matrices must be of the same rank (dimension).

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\
2 & 1 & 4 & -2 \\
0 & -5 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & 3
\end{array}\right] \\
B & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & 1 & 0 & 7 \\
-2 & 5 & 1 & 2 \\
4 & 1 & 3 & -6 \\
-1 & 1 & -8 & 2
\end{array}\right] \\
A+B & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
3 & 1 & -1 & 10 \\
0 & 6 & 5 & 0 \\
4 & -4 & 3 & -5 \\
-2 & 3 & -9 & 5
\end{array}\right] \\
A-B & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & -1 & -1 & -4 \\
4 & -4 & 3 & -4 \\
-4 & -6 & -3 & 7 \\
0 & 1 & 7 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Multiplication

For the matrices $A, B$, and $C$ with corresponding elements $a_{i j}, b_{i j}$, and $c_{i j}$, where $C$ is the product of AxB. The element $\mathrm{c}_{i j}$ is the sum of the $j$ products of the elements of row $i$ of matrix $A$ and the elements of column $i$ of $B$. Note that matrices must have the same "inner" dimension. Matrix dimensions are specified as rows x columns. Thus a $4 \times 3$ matrix can be multiplied by a $3 \times 1$ matrix, but the order of the multiplication can not be reversed (i.e., a $1 \times 3$ matrix cannot be multiplied by a $4 \times 3$ matrix).

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right] \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
b_{11} & b_{12} \\
b_{21} & b_{22} \\
b_{31} & b_{32}
\end{array}\right] \\
A \times B=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{j} a_{1 j} b_{j 1} & \sum_{j} a_{1 j} b_{j 2} \\
\sum_{j}^{j} a_{2 j} b_{j 1} & \sum_{j}^{j} a_{2 j} b_{j 2} \\
\sum_{j} a_{3 j} b_{j 1} & \sum_{j} a_{3 j} b_{j 2}
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Example:

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -1 \\
2 & 1 & 4 \\
0 & -5 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 1 \\
-2 & 5 \\
4 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
A \times B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2+0-4 & 1+0-1 \\
4-2+16 & 2+5+4 \\
0+10+0 & 0-25+0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-2 & 0 \\
18 & 11 \\
10 & -25
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

The identity matrix. For any square matrix, the identity is a diagonal matrix of equal rank with all of the diagonal elements $=1$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -1 \\
2 & 1 & 4 \\
0 & -5 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad I=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
A^{*} I=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -1 \\
2 & 1 & 4 \\
0 & -5 & 0
\end{array}\right] \times\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -1 \\
2 & 1 & 4 \\
0 & -5 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Leslie and Lefkovitch Projection Matrices

1. History

- Application of age-specific survival and fertility rates dates back to the late $19^{\text {th }}$ century
- Use of matrix models was developed independently by Bernardelli (1941), Lewis (1942), and Leslie (1945).
- Bernardelli's 1941 paper in the Journal of the Burma Research Society focused on oscillations in the age structure of the Burmese population from 1901-1931.
- Lewis suggested age-structured matrix models in a 1942 paper, appearing in the Indian Journal of Statistics, that was very similar to Leslie's 1945 paper.
- Leslie's works published in 1945, 1948, 1959, and 1966 were apparently the most influential.
- 1945 - age-specific projection equations in matrix form, rates of increase, and stable age distributions.
- 1948 - examined relationships to logistic models and predator-prey interactions.
- 1959 - effects of time-lags on matrix models.
- 1966 - intrinsic rates of increase and overlap in generations on guillemots populations
- Even so matrix models were not mentioned in many notable ecology texts or in ecological research prior to the 1970s.
- Lefkovitch worked on the dynamics of agricultural pests published a series of influential papers using the matrix models described by Leslie in the early 1960s. Among these was a 1965 paper that introduced the idea of stage-structured models that classified insects by life-stage rather than age. This idea was rapidly adopted by ecologists classifying trees by size, humans by age-groups, and various plants by lifestage and size.
- Before the advent of small computers, much of the work by Leslie and others focused on the parallels with life tables and transformations to make matrix calculations easier (by hand).


## 2. Age-structured models

The goal of population modeling is to develop equations that allow us to understand the processes that govern population dynamics. Consider the equation:

$$
N_{t+1}=\lambda N_{t},
$$

where $N_{t}$ is the population size in year $t$ and $N_{t+1}$. In the absence of emigration and immigration, the population growth rate, $\lambda$, subsumes the processes of mortality and recruitment. Thus, one could more explicitly write this equation as

$$
N_{t+1}=(F+P) N_{t},
$$

where $F$ is fertility, the number of offspring recruited per adult and $P$ is the probability of surviving from year $t$ until year $t+1$.

Now consider a population of size $N$ with 31 -year age classes where $n_{i}$ is the number of individuals in age-class $i$ and age class one is the youngest age class. The dynamics of this population could be expressed as three separate equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1 t+1}=F_{1} n_{1 t}+F_{2} n_{2 t}+F_{3} n_{31 t} \\
& n_{2 t+1}=P_{1} n_{1} \\
& n_{3 t+1}=P_{2} n_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and since individuals in this population do not live beyond age 3 , all of the $n_{3}$ die before the next time step (year). Note that $n_{1++1}$ is composed of offspring produced by all three age classes, and that $n_{2 t+1}$ and $n_{3 t+1}$ contain only individuals from $n_{1 t}$ and $n_{2 t}$ (respectively) that survived until $t+1$.

The age-structured transition matrix model representing this system of equations is a square matrix with one column for each age-class:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
F_{1} & F_{2} & F_{3} \\
P_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & P_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The population $N$, composed of individuals of three age classes $n_{1-3}$ is represented by the vector:

$$
N=\left[\begin{array}{l}
n_{1} \\
n_{2} \\
n_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

This population is projected through time using matrix multiplication by the equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{t+1} & =A \times N_{t} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
F_{1} & F_{2} & F_{3} \\
P_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & P_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right] \times\left[\begin{array}{l}
n_{1} \\
n_{2} \\
n_{3}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$


(Note that the inner dimensions of the matrices $(3 \times 3 ; 3 \times 1)$ agree.)
This model can be represented by the above life-cycle diagram, where each node represents an age class, the straight lines connecting the nodes represent the survival
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probabilities $(P)$ and the curved lines extending back to the first node represent the fertilities ( $F$ ).

Example:
Birth and Survival Rates for Female New Zealand Sheep
[from G. Caughley, "Parameters for Seasonally Breeding Populations," Ecology 48(1967)834-839]

The data:

| Age (years) | Birth Rate | Survival Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-1$ | 0.000 | 0.845 |
| $1-2$ | 0.045 | 0.975 |
| $2-3$ | 0.391 | 0.965 |
| $3-4$ | 0.472 | 0.950 |
| $4-5$ | 0.484 | 0.926 |
| $5-6$ | 0.546 | 0.895 |
| $6-7$ | 0.543 | 0.850 |
| $7-8$ | 0.502 | 0.786 |
| $8-9$ | 0.468 | 0.691 |
| $9-10$ | 0.459 | 0.561 |
| $10-11$ | 0.433 | 0.370 |
| $11-12$ | 0.421 | 0.000 |

The Leslie matrix:

$$
\boldsymbol{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
0 & 0.045 & 0.391 & 0.472 & 0.484 & 0.546 & 0.543 & 0.502 & 0.468 & 0.459 & 0.433 & 0.421 \\
0.845 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.975 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.965 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.95 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.926 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.895 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.85 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.786 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.691 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.561 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.37 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{array}\right]
$$
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Life-cycle diagram:


10 -year projection starting with 1002 -year olds:
Note the rapidly (exponential) increasing population and the initial fluctuations in $\lambda$ due to starting conditions (age distribution).

Age distributions - 10-year projection starting with 1002 -year olds.
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3. Assumptions of age structured models:
a. Individuals progress through the life-cycle by discrete time-steps (e.g., years)
b. Age-specific fertility
c. Age-specific survival
4. Stage-based models

The works of Lefkovitch relaxed the assumptions of the age-structured models described by Leslie and were useful for animals that had stage-dependent vital rates.

1) Discrete time-steps (e.g., years)
2) Individuals allowed to remain in life-stages longer than one year
3) Stage-specific fertility
4) Stage-specific survival

Stage-based matrix model (3 stages):

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
P_{1} & F_{2} & F_{3} \\
G_{1} & P_{2} & 0 \\
0 & G_{2} & P_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$F_{i}$ is still the fertility, the number of offspring recruited per adult; $P_{i}$ is the probability of surviving from year $t$ until year $t+1$ and remaining in stage $i$; and $G_{i}$ is the probability of growing to stage $i$ during the next time step.

Life cycle graph for a typical 4-stage population:


Examples:

1) Arthropods with discrete developmental stages.
2) Plants, crustaceans, and fish with size-dependent ages of maturity.
3) Angiosperms, kelp, molluscs, decapods, insects, isopods, amphibians, and reptiles with reproductive rates that vary with adult body size.
4) Plants with mortality rates that vary with size.
5) Plants and animals with size related sex changes.

Another example - from Brault, S. and Caswell, H. 1973. Pod-Specific Demography of Killer Whales (Orcinus orca). Ecology, 74:1444-1454.

Classified the population into 4 stages of females: yearlings ( 1 year olds), juveniles (up to 18 yrs ), reproductive (up to 45 yrs ), and post-reproductive. Thus the life-cycle graph

looks like:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0.000 & 0.004 & 0.113 & 0.000 \\
0.978 & 0.911 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
0.000 & 0.074 & 0.953 & 0.000 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & 0.045 & 0.980
\end{array}\right]
$$

Projections:



Type of models

1. Pre-breeding vs. post-breeding census

Time step models can be configured to conform to traditional census times used for animal populations. In most wildlife studies, censuses or surveys to estimate population size occur just before breeding or post reproduction.

Depending upon the desired use of the model, matrix models can be configured to provide comparable output by adjusting the fertilities and survivals. Generally, speaking $P_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ in age-based and Gis in stage-based models are annual rates and will not vary. However, the $F_{i}$ in a pre-breeding census model include productivity and survival of offspring until the end of the first time step (e.g., year), while $F_{i}$ in a postbreeding census model are discounted by survival of adults until the next time step (e.g., year). Also $P_{1}$ S in a prebreeding census reflect survival of individuals between the first and second time step. Whereas $P_{1}$ s in the postbreeding model are survival from postbreeding until the next postbreeding census.

Example:
Hypothetical bird population

| Estimate | Parameter |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Clutch size (cs, all ages) |
| 0.5 | Sex ratio (sr, females/egg) |
| 0.35 | Nest success (ns, all ages) |
| 0.45 | Chick survival until postbreeding census (gs) |
| 0.6 | Annual survival of young from postbreeding to first birthday ( $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ ) |
| 0.76 | Annual survival of adults (Sa - age 1+) |

Postbreeding age-structured matrix

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{i}=\mathrm{cs}^{*} \mathrm{sr}^{*} \mathrm{~ns}^{*} \mathrm{gs}^{*} \mathrm{~s}_{1+}=7 * 0.5 * 0.35 * 0.45 * 0.76=0 . .42 \\
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0.42 & 0.42 \\
0.60 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.76 & 0.76
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Prebreeding age-structured matrix

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{i}=\mathrm{cs}^{*} \mathrm{~ns}^{*} \mathrm{gs}^{*} \mathrm{~S}_{0}=7 * 0.5 * 0.35 * 0.45 * 0.60=0.33 \\
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0.33 & 0.33 \\
0.76 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.76 & 0.76
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Four questions from Caswell (2001)
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1. Asymptotic behavior-What happens if model processes operate for a very long time? What is the long-term behavior of the population? Does it grow or decline? Does it persist or go extinct? Does it converge to an equilibrium, oscillate, or behave chaotically?

Projections using deterministic matrices (i.e., those that are time invariant) usually reach an asymptotic growth rate and stable age distribution. It may take $>100$ time steps for these parameters to stabilize, but for all practical purposes with most models this occurs in $<10$ time steps. These parameters tell you what to expect in the long term based on the model.

$\boldsymbol{A}=$| 0 | 0.63 | 0.63 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.6 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0.76 | 0.76 |

a. Population growth rate $(\lambda)$ - will the population grow or decline...


1) Project population for $>20$ years, then calculate rate of change $\left(N_{t+1} / N_{t}\right)$.
2) Project population for $>20$ years, then calculate average rate of change (Heyde, C. C., and J. E. Cohen. 1985. Confidence intervals for demographic projections based on products of lambda matrices. Theoretical Population Biology 27:122-153.)

$$
\lambda=e^{\left(\frac{\ln N_{t}-\ln N_{1}}{t-1}\right)}
$$

3) Calculate dominant eigenvalue - defined:

$$
A N=\lambda N
$$

Fortunately, most single population matrices have one largest, real, positive (dominant) eigenvalue.

WILD 7250 - Analysis of Wildlife Populations
www.auburn.edu/~grandjb/wildpop

b. Stable age (stage) distribution (SAD) - What is the predicted structure of the population?

1) Project the population for $\geq 20$ years, determine the percentage of the population in each age (stage) class.

2) Calculate the right eigenvector of the dominant eigenvalue and normalize.

Age/stage structure
R eigenvector Final SAD
$36.4 \% \quad 36.4 \%$
19.8\% 19.8\%
43.9\% 43.9\%
2. Ergodicity-Is the behavior of the model dependent upon the initial state vector (i.e. the size and stage structure of the population)? A model is ergodic if its asymptotic dynamics are independent of the initial conditions. If so, the results may reveal something about model (population) processes; not the starting conditions. Alternatively, if not ergodic then a model can be used to explain differences in dynamics when the processes are the same.

Project model $\geq 20$ years with different initial age distributions. Does the population reach (or approach) the expected $\lambda$ and SAD?

3. Transient behavior-What are the short term dynamics of the model? Does it grow or decline? How rapidly does it converge to equilibrium? Does it oscillate, or behave chaotically? Short-term dynamics can be very different from longterm, asymptotic behavior. Transient, short-term dynamics can be very useful in understanding population responses to perturbations.
The dominant eigenvalue of the matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ determines the asymptotic (long-term) growth rate if the environmental conditions were maintained at a steady state (i.e., the matrix of vital rates never changes and the ) - Not very likely.
Therefore, it's often very useful to ask questions about the short-term dynamics of the population (i.e. model)

- Does the population grow or decline?
- How rapidly does it converge to equilibrium?
- Does it oscillate, or behave chaotically?

Short-term dynamics can be very different from long-term, asymptotic behavior. Transient, short-term dynamics can be very useful in understanding population responses to perturbations. For example a change in harvest regime or a catastrophic event such as a hurricane or an oil spill.
The simplest approach is just to use numerical projections which show exactly what happens to the population from a specific initial condition or as a result of a change in conditions.
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Example
Spectacled Eider population on the Y-K Delta at Kashunuk River Study site
Demographics:

|  | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nest success |  | 0.47 | 0.47 |
| Clutch size <br> (females hatched) |  | 2.15 | 2.15 |
| Breeding propensity |  | 0.56 | 1 |
| Duckling survival |  | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| Survival of immatures |  | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| Survival of adults |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ - exposed to lead |  | 0.44 | 0.44 |
| - not exposed | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 |
| - lead exposure | 0 | 0.1764 | 0.315 |
| $\quad$ weighted average |  | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 0}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{i}=n s_{i} * f h_{i} * b p_{i} * d s_{i} * S_{0} \\
& P_{1}=S_{n l} \\
& P_{2}=\left(b p * l e * S_{l}\right)+(1-b p * l e) * S_{n l} \\
& P_{3}=\left(l e * S_{l}\right)+(l e) * S_{n l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Matrix model:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0.000 & 0.094 & 0.168 \\
0.820 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.75 & 0.70
\end{array}\right]
$$

Life cycle graph:
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Matrix analysis:

| Eigenvalues |  | Eigenvectors (R\&L) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real | Imaginary | Age/stage structReprod val |  |
| 0.858046 | 0 | $15.3 \%$ | 0.9489 |
| -0.07887 | -0.22765 | $14.7 \%$ | 0.992927 |
| -0.07887 | 0.227654 | $70.0 \%$ | 1.012684 |

Numerical projection starting with SAD:


Numerical projection after breeding failure:
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Transient behavior after reproductive failure


Numerical projection after loss of $80 \%$ of adult females


Transient dynamics after loss of $80 \%$ of adult females


The rate of convergence on a stable population growth rate is governed by the relative size of the subdominant eigenvalues. That is, the larger $\lambda_{1}$ is in relation to $\lambda_{i>1}$ the more rapidly the population will converge on stability. This property often referred to as the damping ratio is defined as:
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$$
\rho=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\left|\lambda_{2}\right|} .
$$

It follows then that for larger values of $\rho$ the population converges more rapidly on $\lambda_{1}$ and SAD.

Example:
Hypothetical population matrix with high $F_{i}$ and low annual survival, similar to a small mammal or a passerine bird:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 3 & 4 \\
0.2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.4 & 0.4
\end{array}\right]
$$

| Eigenvalues |  | Eigenvectors (R\&L) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real | Imaginary | Age/stage structReprod val |  |
| 1.046434 | 0 | $76.4 \%$ | 0.479315 |
| -0.15583 | 0 | $14.6 \%$ | 2.507855 |
| -0.49061 | 0 | $9.0 \%$ | 2.965901 |



If $F_{3}$ goes to 0.1:

$$
=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 3 & 0.1 \\
0.2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.4 & 0.4
\end{array}\right]
$$

Eigenvalues Eigenvectors (R\&L)
Real Imaginary Age/stage struct Reprod val

| 0.7878 | 0 | $66.0 \%$ | 0.733113 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.382371 | 0 | $16.7 \%$ | 2.887734 |
| -0.770171 | 0 | $17.3 \%$ | 0.189044 |


4. Perturbation analysis-How does the model respond to changes in the vital rates (i.e., what are the relative sensitivities)? Estimates of vital rates always are subject to uncertainty. Therefore, conclusions dependent upon exact values are always suspect.
a. Prospective analysis - forward looking. What could happen to the population growth rate if changes occurred in vital rates.
b. Retrospective analysis - examining the past. How has variation in vital rates contributed to variation in population growth rate.
c. Why?

WILD 7250 - Analysis of Wildlife Populations
www.auburn.edu/~grandjb/wildpop

1) Predicting results of future changes in vital rates
2) Quantifying the effects of past changes in vital rates
3) Predicting the actions of natural selection (if changes in phenotypes result in changes in vital rates)
4) Designing sampling schemes. (i.e. choosing which vital rates are the most important to measure accurately)

Prospective analysese

1. Sensitivity analyses

Sensitivity refers to the effect on population growth rate, $\lambda 1$, of unit changes in the vital rates.

$$
s_{i j}=\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial a_{i j}}
$$

Thus, they are a measure of the rate of change in $\lambda$ for a unit change in $a_{i j}$ while holding all other vital rates constant. They are the slope of $\lambda$ as a function of $\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}$.

Example Doak, D. P. Kareiva, and B. Klepetka. 1994. Modeling population viability for the desert tortoise in the western Mojave Desert. Ecological Applications 4:446-460.

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2.22 & 3.38 & 4.38 \\
0.716 & 0.567 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.149 & 0.567 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.149 & 0.604 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.235 & 0.56 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.225 & 0.678 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.249 & 0.851 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.016 & 0.86
\end{array}\right]
$$
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| Eigenvalues |  | Eigenvectors (R\&L) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real | Imaginary Age/stage structReprod val |  |  |
| 0.981896 | 0 | $24.7 \%$ | 0.196423 |
| 0.838824 | 0 | $42.7 \%$ | 0.269367 |
| 0.780062 | 0.256278 | $15.3 \%$ | 0.750061 |
| 0.780062 | -0.25628 | $6.0 \%$ | 2.08857 |
| 0.494042 | 0 | $3.4 \%$ | 3.35856 |
| 0.412547 | -0.2158 | $2.5 \%$ | 6.297609 |
| 0.412547 | 0.215801 | $4.7 \%$ | 5.93477 |
| -0.01298 | 0 | $0.6 \%$ | 7.057933 |

Sensitivity matrix

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.004895 | 0.009312 | 0.001222 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.066615 | 0.114961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0.320112 | 0.114961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.320112 | 0.126216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.202964 | 0.113053 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.211985 | 0.156951 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.147908 | 0.281362 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.33461 | 0.043921 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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2. Elasticities

In contrast to sensitivities, elasticities refer to the relative effect on population growth rate, $\lambda_{1}$, of small changes in the vital rates. Interpreted as the relative contributions of the vital rates to $\lambda$.

$$
e_{i, j}=\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial a_{i, j}} \times \frac{a_{i, j}}{\lambda}
$$

Elasticities can be calculated from projections as:

$$
e_{i j}=\left(\frac{\lambda-\lambda^{*}}{\lambda}\right) \times p
$$

where $\lambda^{*}$ is the population growth rate after a proportionate change in $a_{i j}$, and $p$ (usually 0.01 or 0.001 ) is the change in $a_{i j}$. Elasticities are the slope of $\lambda$ as a function of $\ln \left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$.

Since elasticities are scaled with respect to $\lambda$ they sum to 1.0 and thus are directly comparable.

Elasticities for Desert Tortoise example:

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.011068 | 0.032056 | 0.005452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.048576 | 0.066384 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0.048576 | 0.066384 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.048576 | 0.07764 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.048576 | 0.064477 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.048576 | 0.108375 | 0 | 0 |
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| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.037508 | 0.243854 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.005452 | 0.038468 |

Thus, it would be correct to state that $\mathrm{P}_{7}\left(e_{77}=0.24\right)$ the probability of surviving and remaining in stage 7 has 2.25 times as much of an effect on $\lambda$ as does $P_{6}\left(e_{66}=0.11\right)$
Also, elasticities can be summed to determine the relative contributions of more than one vital rate. Thus, it would also be correct to conclude that the elasticity of transition probabilities (Ps and Gs) was 0.95 , while the elasticity of $F s$ was .05 ; thus, the population is 20 times as sensitive to survival rates versus productivity rates.

## Retrospective analysis - Life Table Response Experiments (LTRE)

A set of vital rates is the response variable in an experimental design. The treatments affect the various vital rates and the demographic models represent a way to synthesize the results. $\lambda$ is the most frequently use statistic to evaluate the effect of the treatments. As such they are often used to examine the effect of past variation in vital rates on population growth rates.

LTRE designs are often analogous to analysis of variance and are presented as fixed (oneway, two-way, or factorial), random or regression analysis.
Example - one-way fixed design one treatment (t) and one control (c) the resulting vital rates are used to populate the matrices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2.22 & 3.38 & 4.38 \\
0.716 & 0.567 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.149 & 0.567 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.149 & 0.604 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.235 & 0.56 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.225 & 0.678 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.249 & 0.851 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.016 & 0.86
\end{array}\right] \\
& A_{c}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc} 
\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.042 & 0.069 & 0.069 \\
0.716 & 0.567 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.149 & 0.567 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.149 & 0.604 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.235 & 0.56 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.225 & 0.678 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.249 & 0.851 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.016 & 0.86
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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The mean or reference matrix is calculated as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
A^{m}=\left(A_{t}+A_{c}\right) / 2, \\
A^{m}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.1311 .72452 .2245 \\
0.7160 .567 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.1490 .567 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.1490 .604 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.235 & 0.56 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.2250 .678 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.249 & 0.851 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.016 & 0.86
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

the sensitivities of $\mathrm{A}^{m}$ are calculated:

$$
S_{A^{m}}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllllll}
0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.006 & 0.016 & 0.003 \\
0.056 & 0.104 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
0.000 & 0.268 & 0.104 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & 0.268 & 0.115 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.170 & 0.102 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.178 & 0.146 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.132 & 0.324 & 0.000 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.374 & 0.065
\end{array}\right]
$$

The difference (D) between $A_{t}$ and $A_{c}$ is then multiplied (elementwise) by the sensitivities:

$$
D=\left[\begin{array}{lllllccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2.178 & 3.311 & 4.311 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
D \circ S_{A^{m}}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0138 & 0.0515 & 0.0116 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The resulting matrix is the contributions of the differences in the vital rates to the change in the population growth rate.

