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Abstract

This study examined prevalence and frequency of “club” drug use among college students ($N=831$) and associations with marijuana and alcohol use, sensation seeking, and positive and negative affectivity. Eighteen percent ($n=146$) of the sample had used club drugs at least once in their lifetime. Results of a logistic regression indicated that club drug use was positively associated with marijuana use, negative affectivity, and female gender. Among those who had tried club drugs in their lifetime, 42% reported no past year use and 22.6% reported using 7–12 times or more in the past year. Regression analysis examined associations between 12-month use frequency and the predictors among those who had tried club drugs. Results indicated that sensation seeking and marijuana use were positively associated with use frequency.
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1. Club drug use among college students

Club drugs are a loosely defined category of drugs from different classes (e.g., stimulants, hallucinogens) grouped due to their use at dance clubs and raves. Common
examples include ecstasy (MDMA), methamphetamine, and ketamine (National Institute on Drug Abuse, 2004). Approximately 15% of young adults ages 18–25 report lifetime use of MDMA and 24% report use of MDMA or some other hallucinogen (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration [SAMHSA], 2003). Approximately 11% of young adults report lifetime use of methamphetamine or other illicit stimulants (SAMHSA, 2003).

Though the lifetime use prevalence of club drugs is relatively low, and current use markedly lower (e.g., ~1% report past month and ~6% past year ecstasy use; SAMHSA, 2003), use of these drugs can have significant negative consequences (American Psychiatric Association, 2000).

Sensation seeking is a temperament dimension characterized by the tendency to seek novel and stimulating experiences (Zuckerman, 1994). Sensation seeking has been linked to the use of ecstasy and other drugs (Low & Gendaszek, 2002; Wills, Windle, & Cleary, 1998).

Positive and negative affectivity have been linked to substance use (Wills, Sandy, Shinar, & Yaeger, 1999). Negative affectivity has been associated with higher rates of use (Wills et al., 1999). Positive affectivity has exhibited negative associations with use in some studies (Wills et al., 1999). However, associations have been somewhat inconsistent across studies (Cooper, Frone, Russell, & Mudar, 1995).

Significant associations between club drug use and marijuana and alcohol use have been observed in high school populations (Yacoubian, Green, & Peters, 2003). Given the high prevalence of alcohol use in college populations, it is unlikely that it is a relevant predictor of club drug use. However, marijuana use is less prevalent and may thus predict club drug use in this population.

The current study examined lifetime and past year use of club drugs among college students. Sensation seeking and negative affectivity were hypothesized to be positively associated with use, while positive affectivity was hypothesized to be negatively associated with use. Male gender and marijuana use was expected to be positively associated with club drug use.

1.1. Participants and procedure

The sample consisted of 831 college students at two state universities participating in research for partial fulfillment of course requirements. Approximately 70% of the sample were women. The mean age was 20.20, \(SD=2.81\). Eighty-nine percent were White, 7% Black, 2% Asian/Pacific Islander, and 3% other races. A subset of this data was used in two previous studies (Simons & Gaher, 2004; Simons, Gaher, Correia, Hansen, & Christopher, in press).

1.2. Measures

Club drugs, marijuana, and alcohol use frequency in the past 12 months were assessed by 9-point rating scales (0 = none at all, 8 = more than daily). The club drug item was worded as “...‘club drugs’ (e.g., crystal meth, ecstasy (MDMA), MDA, Special K (Ketamine), amphetamines, speed).” Lifetime use was assessed by an 8-point rating scale (0 = never used,
Sensation seeking was assessed by a 16-item version of Zuckerman’s sensation seeking scale (Donohew, Zimmerman, Cupp, Novak, Colon, & Abell, 2000). Scale items are rated on a 5-point scale (1=Disagree a lot, 5=Agree a lot; \( \alpha = .81 \)). Positive and negative affectivity were assessed by the General Temperament Survey (Clark & Watson, 1990). The positive affectivity scale is 27 items (\( \alpha = .84 \)) (Watson, Clark, McIntyre, & Hamaker, 1992). A 20-item version of the negative affectivity scale (\( \alpha = .95 \)) was used. Both measures used a 4-point scale (1=false, 4=true, Stice, Myers, & Brown, 1998).

2. Results

2.1. Descriptive statistics

Eighteen percent \((n=146)\) reported using club drugs in their lifetime and 11% \((n=89)\) reported use in the past 12 months. Among those who had tried club drugs, mean lifetime use was 3–11 days (rating scale \( M = 2.45, SD = 1.33 \)). Mean use in the past 12 months was 1–3 times a year (rating scale \( M = 1.28, SD = 1.57 \)). Forty-two percent of those reporting some use in lifetime reported no use in the past year, 22.6% reported using 7–12 times or more, and 13% reported using weekly or more. In contrast, in the past 12 months, 37% \((n=309)\) reported marijuana use, and 88% \((n=735)\) reported alcohol use. Correlations are presented in Table 1.

2.2. Use initiation

We included all participants \((N=831)\) in a logistic regression with a dichotomous lifetime use indicator as the criterion and gender (1= male, 0= female), lifetime marijuana and alcohol use, university (1= Sample 1, 0= Sample 2), positive and negative affectivity, and sensation seeking as predictors.

Table 1
Correlations among variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
<th>3.</th>
<th>4.</th>
<th>5.</th>
<th>6.</th>
<th>7.</th>
<th>8.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. University</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>-.15</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Gender</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Marijuana</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Alcohol</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Club drugs</td>
<td>-.09</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Neg. affectivity</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Pos. affectivity</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>-.10</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Sensation seeking</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Full sample \((N=831)\) correlations are below the diagonal, \( r \geq .07, p < .05; r \geq .10, p < .01 \). Marijuana, alcohol and club drug use refer to lifetime use frequency. Lifetime use (i.e., ever tried) sample \((N=146)\) is above the diagonal, \( r \geq .18, p < .05, r \geq .23, p < .01 \). Marijuana, alcohol and club drug use refer to 12-month use frequency. University is coded 1=Sample 1, 0=Sample 2. Gender is coded 1= male, 0= female. Neg. = negative, pos. = positive.
seeking as explanatory variables. The full model was significant ($\chi^2(7) = 345.91, p < .0001$). Lifetime marijuana use (O.R. = 2.70, $p < .001$) and negative affectivity (O.R. = 1.02, $p = .018$) were positively associated with club drug use. Sample 1 participants were less likely to have tried club drugs (O.R. = 0.44, $p = .002$). Contrary to hypothesis, men were less likely to have tried club drugs (O.R. = 0.42, $p = .003$). Sensation seeking (O.R. = 1.02, $p = .285$) and positive affectivity (O.R. = 1.0, $p = .317$) were not associated with use initiation.

2.3. Use frequency

For the use frequency analysis, only participants reporting having tried club drugs at least once were included ($n = 146$). At Step 1, use frequency was regressed on gender, 12-month marijuana and alcohol use frequency, and university, $F(4, 141) = 5.82, p = .0002, R^2 = .14$. Only marijuana use was a significant predictor. At Step 2, positive and negative affectivity and sensation seeking were entered, $F(3, 183) = 3.15, p = .027, \Delta R^2 = .06$; Full model $F(7, 138) = 4.83, p = .0001, R^2 = .20$. Only marijuana use and sensation seeking were significant predictors (see Table 2). The effect size for the temperament terms was $f^2 = .07$, a small to medium effect.

3. Discussion

Lifetime marijuana use and negative affectivity were positively associated with club drug use initiation. Contrary to hypothesis, men were less likely to have tried club drugs. Positive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 1</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE B</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$p$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>−.02</td>
<td>.813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana use</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.306</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 2</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE B</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$p$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>−0.22</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>−.07</td>
<td>.412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana use</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neg. affectivity</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pos. affectivity</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensation seeking</td>
<td>−2.75</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. $N = 146$. Step 1 $F(4, 141) = 5.82, p = .0002, R^2 = .14$; Step 2 $F(3, 138) = 3.15, p = .027, \Delta R^2 = .06$; Full model $F(7, 138) = 4.83, p = .0001, R^2 = .20$. University is coded 1 = Sample 1, 0 = Sample 2. Gender is coded 1 = male, 0 = female. Neg. = negative, pos. = positive.
affectivity and sensation seeking were not associated with club drug use initiation. Among participants who had tried club drugs at least once, marijuana use frequency and sensation seeking were significant predictors of club drug use frequency.

Consistent with previous research, the results indicate that marijuana use is positively associated with club drug use (Yacoubian et al., 2003). This does not suggest that people who use marijuana will progress to use club drugs. The associations simply indicate that people who use club drugs are more likely to have also tried marijuana, and that relative to infrequent marijuana users, individuals who use marijuana at higher rates are more likely to use club drugs at higher rates. Only a minority of people who use marijuana progress to use other drugs (Glantz, Weinberg, Miner, & Colliver, 1999). In the current sample, 33% of those who had tried marijuana also tried club drugs.

The pattern of results suggests different relationships between the temperament variables and use initiation and use frequency. Specifically, use initiation was positively associated with negative affectivity while frequency of use was positively associated with sensation seeking. The results indicate that temperament variables that have demonstrated associations with other drugs in previous research are also relevant to the study of club drugs and deserve further investigation.

In summary, club drug use among college students is relatively prevalent. Results of this study indicate positive associations between club drug use and negative affect, sensation seeking, and marijuana use. Further research is needed to examine predictors of negative consequences associated with club drug use.
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