

## Coding Theory Prelim, May 30, 2009

In  $\mathbf{F}_2[x]$ ,

$$x^{15} - 1 = (x+1)(x^2+x+1)(x^4+x+1)(x^4+x^3+1)(x^4+x^3+x^2+x+1).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{16} &:= \mathbf{F}_2[\gamma]/\langle 1+\gamma+\gamma^4 \rangle \\ &= \{c_0 + c_1\gamma + c_2\gamma^2 + c_3\gamma^3 : c_i \in \mathbf{F}_2\} \\ &= \{0\} \cup \{\gamma^i : 0 \leq i < 15\} \end{aligned}$$

$i$	$c_0$	$c_1$	$c_2$	$c_3$
$15 \equiv 0$	1	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0
2	0	0	1	0
3	0	0	0	1
4	1	1	0	0
5	0	1	1	0
6	0	0	1	1
7	1	1	0	1
8	1	0	1	0
9	0	1	0	1
10	1	1	1	0
11	0	1	1	1
12	1	1	1	1
13	1	0	1	1
14	1	0	0	1

In  $\mathbf{F}_2[x]$ ,

$$x^{31} - 1 = (x + 1)(x^5 + x^2 + 1)(x^5 + x^3 + 1)(x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1) \cdot \\ (x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1)(x^5 + x^4 + x^2 + x + 1)(x^5 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{32} &:= \mathbf{F}_2[\delta]/(1 + \delta^2 + \delta^5) \\ &= \{c_0 + c_1\delta + c_2\delta^2 + c_3\delta^3 + c_4\delta^4 : c_i \in \mathbf{F}_2\} \\ &= \{0\} \cup \{\delta^i : 0 \leq i < 31\} \end{aligned}$$

$i$	$c_0$	$c_1$	$c_2$	$c_3$	$c_4$
$31 \equiv 0$	1	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0
2	0	0	1	0	0
3	0	0	0	1	0
4	0	0	0	0	1
5	1	0	1	0	0
6	0	1	0	1	0
7	0	0	1	0	1
8	1	0	1	1	0
9	0	1	0	1	1
10	1	0	0	0	1
11	1	1	1	0	0
12	0	1	1	1	0
13	0	0	1	1	1
14	1	0	1	1	1
15	1	1	1	1	1
16	1	1	0	1	1
17	1	1	0	0	1
18	1	1	0	0	0
19	0	1	1	0	0
20	0	0	1	1	0
21	0	0	0	1	1
22	1	0	1	0	1
23	1	1	1	1	0
24	0	1	1	1	1
25	1	0	0	1	1
26	1	1	1	0	1
27	1	1	0	1	0
28	0	1	1	0	1
29	1	0	0	1	0
30	0	1	0	0	1

1. Explain, using some reasonable notation how the **error**  $e$ , the **syndrome power series**  $s$ , the **error-locator polynomial**  $\sigma$ , and the **error-evaluator polynomial**  $\rho$  are related. Include a proof that the error-locator polynomial actually locates error positions.

Which of these show up where in the following Berlekamp-Massey computation over  $\mathbf{F}_{16}$ ?

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc|cccc|cc}
 0 & & & & & & & & - & 4 & - & 9 & 5 & 4 & 0 & 0 & - \\
 1 & & & & & & & & & 4 & - & 9 & 5 & 4 & 0 & 2 & - \\
 2 & & & & & & & & - & 4 & - & 9 & 5 & 4 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
 3 & & & & & & & & - & 8 & - & 13 & 9 & 8 & 4 & 3 & 1 \\
 4 & & & & & & & & - & 12 & - & 13 & 2 & 8 & - & 4 & 3 \\
 5 & & & & & & & & - & 10 & 6 & - & 9 & 6 & 2 & 11 & 5 & 4 \\
 6 & - & 8 & 12 & - & - & - & & & 4 & 8 & 9 & 7 & & & 6 & 5
 \end{array}$$

2. Assume  $\lambda$  is a **primitive**  $(q-1)$ -st **root of 1** in  $\mathbf{F}_q$  (and hence generates an index table for  $\mathbf{F}_q$ ).

- (a) Define a **Reed-Solomon code**  $RS(q, \delta)$  over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  with (**designed**) **minimum distance**  $\delta$ , by describing a **generator polynomial**  $g(x)$  for it, and give its other parameters  $n$ , the **wordlength** and  $k$ , the **dimension**. Justify these and the fact that  $d = \delta$  is the actual **minimum distance**.

- (b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(x) &:= (x-1)(x-\gamma)(x-\gamma^2)(x-\gamma^3)(x-\gamma^4)m_{\gamma^0}(x)m_{\gamma^1}(x)m_{\gamma^3}(x) \\
 &= (x+1)(x^4+x+1)(x^4+x^3+x^2+x+1) =: G(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $G(x) \in \mathbf{F}_2[x]$ , it generates a cyclic (sub)code over  $\mathbf{F}_2$ . What are the parameters  $(n, k, d)$  of this code?

3. One of the following matrices generates a **catastrophic convolutional code** and one does not.

$$G_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1+x & x \\ 1+x & x & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1+x & 0 \\ 1+x & x & 1+x \end{pmatrix}$$

- (a) For the catastrophic code, find a **message**  $\underline{m}$  of infinite weight with corresponding **codeword**  $\underline{c}$  of finite weight.

- (b) For the other, produce a **finite state table** and compute its **minimum free distance**.
4.  $x^5 + x^2 + 1 \in \mathbf{Z}_2[x]$  was used to produce the index table for  $\mathbf{F}_{32}$  above. Lift this from  $\mathbf{F}_2[x]$  to one in  $\mathbf{Z}_4[x]$ . And lift the corresponding **index table** for  $\mathbf{F}_{32}$  to an “index table” over  $\mathbf{Z}_4$  as well.
  5. State and prove at least one good upper bound and one good lower bound on the size of a linear code – the **sphere-packing bound** and the **Gilbert-Varshamov bound** being perhaps the most obvious choices.
  6. Given a **curve** defined by the equation  $x^2y + y^2 + x = 0$  in characteristic 2, find the **divisors**  $\text{div}(y)$  and  $\text{div}(x)$ . Pick a point  $P_\infty$  at which both have **poles**, and find as many rational functions (in  $y$  and  $x$ ) that have only poles at  $P_\infty$  and with different pole orders there as you can. Make a guess at the **genus** (that is, the number of “missing” pole orders) based on what you found.
  7. Finish the following **Berlekamp-Massey-Sakata** type row-reduction and shifting computation ( $22 \leq m \leq 26$ ) relative to the Hermitian curve above and an error of weight 6, and produce a **Gröbner basis** for the **error-locator ideal** computed by it. Make sure you explain how you chose the unknown syndrome values.

$$s := \left( \begin{array}{cccccc} \gamma^6 & \gamma^7 & \gamma^8 & \gamma^9 & \gamma^{10} & \gamma^{11} & s(x^6) \\ \gamma^9 & \gamma^{13} & \gamma^0 & \gamma^3 & \gamma^8 & s(yx^5) & \\ \gamma^8 & \gamma^4 & \gamma^4 & \gamma^{12} & s(y^2x^4) & & \\ \gamma^{10} & \gamma^{10} & \gamma^7 & & & & \\ \hline \gamma^{11} + \gamma^9 & s(x^6) + \gamma^{13} & & & & & \\ s(yx^5) + \gamma^8 & & & & & & \end{array} \right)$$

