#### NIMROD Simulations of Low-q Discharges in the Compact Toroidal Hybrid Device

E.C. Howell<sup>1</sup>, D.A. Ennis<sup>1</sup>, J.D. Hanson<sup>1</sup>, G.J. Hartwell<sup>1</sup>, J.L. Herfindal<sup>1</sup>, D.A. Maurer<sup>1</sup>, M.D. Pandya<sup>2</sup>

Auburn University<sup>1</sup>, University of Wisconsin-Madison<sup>2</sup>

APS-DPP Conference, San Jose CA, October 31, 2016







Outline: Resistive MHD simulations using NIMROD are used to investigate low-q disruptions in the Compact Toroidal Hybrid device (CTH).

Experimental Observations of Low-q Disruptions in CTH

2 Modeling CTH with NIMROD

Simulation Results

4 Conclusions and Future Work

## The Compact Toroidal Hybrid device (CTH) is stellarator-tokamak hybrid designed to study the effects of 3D shaping on MHD instabilities.

CTH Parameters	
Field Periods	5
Major Radius	0.75m
Minor Radius	0.20m
Magnetic Field	$\leq$ 0.7 T
Plasma Current	$\leq$ 80kA
Number Density	$\leq$ 5 $ imes$ 10 <sup>19</sup> m $^{-3}$
Electron Temperature	$\leq$ 200 eV



- The rotational transform is generated by a combination of currents in external 3D helical coils and internal plasma currents.
  - The rotational transform, t, is the inverse of the safety factor: t = 1/q.
- The fractional transform, f, quantifies the amount of 3D shaping.

•  $f = t_{vac}/t_{total}$ 

• CTH can operate with a fractional transform that ranges from f = 4% to f = 100% by adjusting the plasma current.

# A small amount of vacuum rotational transform allows CTH to operate with q(a) < 2.

- External kink stability typically limits tokamak operation to  $q(a) \ge 2$ .
- Strong m/n = 2/1 mode activity is not observed in CTH when q (a) passes through 2.
- Disruptions are observed in these low-q discharges after peak plasma current.
  - 3/2 mode activity is observed in both disrupting and non-disrupting discharges.
  - 4/3 mode activity is only observed in disrupting discharges.
  - 1/1 activity is observed in both cases.
- Disruptions occur when the edge safety factor passes through  $q(a) \approx 1.7$ .



[M. D. Pandya et al., POP 22, 2015 ]



- The frequency of disruptions decreases with increasing vacuum transform.
  - Disruptions always occur when  $t_{vac} \lesssim 0.03$
  - Disruptions are completely suppressed for  ${\it t_{vac}}\gtrsim 0.07.$
- Here  $q_{tot}(a)$  is the value of the edge safety factor at peak plasma current.

## Modeling CTH with ${\rm NIMROD}$ : Nonlinear simulations are initialized with ${\rm V3FIT}$ reconstructions of experimental discharges.

- Simulations are initialized with V3FIT reconstructions of CTH discharges.
- In the results presented here we model CTH discharge 16080535.
- This is a low-q discharge with  $t_{vac} = 0.015$  that disrupted around t = 1.662s.
- The simulations are initialized with reconstructions of the equilibrium 2ms before the disruption.
- This discharge has a strong soft X-ray signal which aids in the reconstruction of the internal current profile.



#### Equilibrium reconstructions incorporate soft X-ray measurements to constrain the internal safety factor profile.

- The reconstructed safety factor profile calculated using only the magnetic diagnostics is only accurate in the edge (s ≥ 0.8).
  - s is the normalized toroidal flux.
- Reconstructions that incorporate soft X-ray measurements provide a better estimate of the internal q profile.
  - We assume that the soft X-ray emissivity is a flux function.
  - This constrains the shape of internal flux surfaces.
- See X. Ma's poster, CP10.00031, for more information on the incorporation of soft X-ray measurements into CTH reconstructions.



$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left( n \vec{V} \right) = \nabla \cdot \left( D \nabla n - D_h \nabla \nabla^2 n \right) \\ &\rho \left( \frac{\partial \vec{V}}{\partial t} + \vec{V} \cdot \nabla \vec{V} \right) = \vec{J} \times \vec{B} - \nabla P - \nabla \cdot \vec{\pi} \\ &\frac{3}{2} n \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \vec{V} \cdot \nabla T \right) = -P \nabla \cdot \vec{V} - \nabla \cdot \vec{q} + \eta J^2 \\ &\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \left( \eta \vec{J} - \vec{V} \times \vec{B} \right) + k_{divb} \nabla \nabla \cdot \vec{B} \\ &\vec{\pi} = \rho \nu \left( \nabla \vec{V} + \nabla \vec{V}^{\mathsf{T}} - \frac{2}{3} \vec{i} \nabla \cdot V \right) \\ &\vec{q} = -n \chi_{\parallel} \hat{b} \hat{b} \cdot \nabla T - n \chi_{\perp} \left( \vec{i} - \hat{b} \hat{b} \right) \cdot \nabla T \end{split}$$

- Magnetic divergence diffusion is used to control  $\nabla \cdot \vec{B}$  errors.
- Artificial particle diffusivities smooth out small scale density fluctuations.

- The resistivity and parallel thermal diffusivity are calculated using the Braginskii model for deuterium plasma.
  - We use a temperature dependent Spitzer resistivity:  $\eta = \eta_0 T^{-3/2}$ .
    - $S = 1.1 \times 10^5$
  - Temperature dependent parallel thermal diffusivity:  $\chi_{\parallel} = \chi_{\parallel 0} T^{5/2}$ .
    - The electron parallel thermal diffusivity is used since  $\chi_{e\parallel} \gg \chi_{i\parallel}$ .
- Uniform viscosity and uniform perpendicular thermal diffusivity are used for numerical convenience.
  - The perpendicular thermal diffusivity is  $\chi_{\perp}=2\mathsf{m}^2/\mathsf{s}.$
  - The isotropic viscosity is  $\nu = 10 \text{m}^2/\text{s}.$ 
    - $\bullet \ \mathsf{Pr}_m \approx 20$
- A loop voltage is applied to maintain the current throughout the simulation.



• CTH plasmas are limited by a collection of partial circular limiters.

- The radius of the limiters varies from 24.5 cm to 27 cm.
- The limiters are located at different toroidal and poloidal locations.
- $\bullet\,$  The limiters subtend an angle between 30° to 120° in the poloidal direction.
- NIMROD uses a spectral element mesh to represent the poloidal plane and a Fourier series to represent the toroidal direction.
  - The code requires an symmetric computational domain.
- We use a circular mesh with a 26cm radius to approximate the combined effect of all the limiters.
  - Previous modeling efforts used a circular mesh with a 30cm radius.

## Simulation Results: Nonlinear simulations exhibit a variety of different types of MHD activity.



- The first 2 ms of the simulation are quiescent.
- A 5/5 island chain coalesces into an 1/1 island around t=2.5 ms.
- Sawtooth observations are observed after the island coalescence.
- The figure shows 14 Fourier modes for clarity. The simulations use 43 Fourier modes to resolve the toroidal direction.

## Islands with n = 5 toroidal periodicity are easily excited early in the simulation.

- These islands are non-symmetry breaking.
  - They have the same symmetry as CTH.
- The islands saturate at small amplitude and have a minimal effect on the dynamics.
- The islands grow and decay as the safety factor profile evolves due to a steepening of the current profile.
- A 5/5 island chain appears when the safety factor drops below 1.







 A large volume of stochastic field is observed as the island grows to large amplitude.

#### Repeated sawtooth oscillations are observed late in time.



• Field line degradation is limited to the core.

 Sawtooth oscillations have be studied in CTH both experimentally (see poster CP10.00030) and numerically (N.A. Roberds POP 23, 2016).

- Reconstructions that incorporate soft X-ray measurements provide more accurate initial conditions for the simulations.
- The 3/2 and 4/3 modes believed to be responsible for the low-q disruptions in the experiment are not yet observed in simulations.
- The *n* = 2 and *n* = 3 Fourier mode energies grow early in the simulation; however, these modes saturate at small amplitude.
  - These modes initially have little energy and are stabilized before they have time to grow to a significant amplitude.
  - We hypothesize that these modes are stabilized by changes in the current and pressure profiles.
  - In the simulations these profiles evolve due to the transport effects in the resistive MHD model.
- We are exploring several remedies to address the above issue.
  - Remedy 1: Initialize simulations with reconstructions of the discharge at peak plasma current, and then model the entire current decay.
  - Remedy 2: Initialize the simulation with larger n = 2 and n = 3 perturbations.