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Abstract
Using social network analysis, this study explores the relationships and connections among top programs and faculty in higher education administration. The literature suggests that elite colleges and universities tend to preserve and improve their reputations by hiring from each other (Burns, 2004; Kennedy, 1997). In addition to displaying the social network graph formed by 179 faculty teaching at 23 programs, descriptive statistics, such as "hiring past graduates," "hiring within the conference," are reported. Results show that, while a few institutions play prominent roles, the social network among higher education programs is actually quite diversified and "healthy.

Findings
Interpretation of network graphs is subjective. However, results show that, while a few institutions play prominent roles, the social network among higher education programs is actually quite diversified and "healthy." Relationships, reputation, and serendipity play key roles. Some of the "busiest" nodes were: Harvard (25), Michigan (18), Penn State (16), Michigan doctorate (16), Michigan State (15), USC (13), Penn (10), and Indiana doctorate (10). Also noteworthy: Other school doctorate (53), Other school previous position (51), and Previous administrative position (31).

Hiring from “within?”
• Holds doctorate from same institution = 20.1% (n = 36)
• Holds doctorate from same athletic conference = 41.3% (n = 74)
• Holds doctorate from Top 25 school = 70.9% (n = 127)

Introduction
How do faculty members in top higher education programs move through their career? Are myths about "hiring from within," or "cannibalizing from each other," true? Are faculty from outside the circle finding their way into positions at top programs? The answers lie within the social fabric that connects people to people and people to institutions. Social network analysis software allows for the quantitative analysis and graphic representation of social networks. Magner (1993) wrote of "a tradition among elite institutions of hiring people largely from other elite campuses" (p. 1). In his book "Homo Academicus," French sociologist Pierre Bourdieu (1988) suggested that ruling and intellectual classes, including university faculty, strive to reproduce themselves. Bair and Bair (1998) reported that 60% of faculty members at top-ten graduate programs in special education were graduates from one of the same top schools.

Method
The sample is the top 23 higher education programs according to the latest U.S. News rankings (2005). Faculty at each of the programs were identified using university Web sites. Vitas were used to collect the data. The methodology is straightforward and uses only three variables: Current institution, previous institution (if applicable), and institution where doctorate was earned. The analysis produces a collection of data points and connecting lines that makes for an interesting graphical representation, a theoretical construct, for discussing the sociology of hiring among top programs.
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Where did faculty member come from?
• Faculty position at Top 25 school = 20.7% (n = 37)
• Faculty position at other school = 28.5% (n = 51)
• Administration position = 17.3% (n = 31)
• Direct from graduate school = 33.5% (n = 60)