On the set-theoretic versions of conjectures of Holtz-Sturmfels and Landsberg-Weyman

Luke Oeding

University of Florence

January 19, 2010

Supported by NSF IRFP (#0853000), and NSF GAANN (#P200A060298)

Goals

- Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{C} and let $G \subset GL(V)$. A variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}V$ is a *G*-variety if $G.X \subset X$.
- Goal 1: Study a prototypical *G*-variety and learn how to study other *G*-varieties which arise in fields such as algebraic statistics, probability theory, signal processing, etc.
- Goal 2: Solve the Holtz-Sturmfels Conjecture (set-theoretic version) on the variety of principal minors of symmetric matrices.
- Bonus: Via a connection to principal minors, get a solution to Landsberg-Weyman Conjecture (set-theoretic version) on the tangential variety of the Segre product of projective spaces.

An example: Spectral graph theory

Let Γ be a graph with

• vertex set
$$Q_0 = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$$

• edge set
$$Q_1 = \{e_{i,j} \mid \overline{v_i v_j} \in \Gamma\}.$$

The graph Laplacian of an undirected graph is a (symmetric) matrix

$$\Delta(\Gamma)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } e_{i,j} \in Q_1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } e_{i,j} \notin Q_1 \\ deg(v_i) & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

The principal minors of $\Delta(\Gamma)$ are invariants of the graph, in fact:

Theorem (Kirchoff's Matrix-Tree theorem (~1850's)) Any $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ principal minor of $\Delta(\Gamma)$ counts the number of spanning trees of Γ .

An example: Spectral graph theory

There are many generalizations of the Matrix-Tree Theorem, such as

Theorem (Matrix-Forest Theorem)

Let $\Delta(\Gamma)_S^S$ be the principal minor of $\Delta(\Gamma)$ indexed by S. Then $\Delta(\Gamma)_S^S =$ number of spanning forests of Γ rooted at vertices indexed by S.

The $\Delta(\Gamma)_S^S$ are graph invariants. The relations among principal minors are then also relations among these graph invariants.

Question

When does there exist a graph Γ with invariants $[v] \in \mathbb{P}^{2^n-1}$ specified by the principal minors of a symmetric matrix $\Delta(\Gamma)$?

Questions

- Holtz and Schneider, D. Wagner, ... : When is it possible to prescribe the principal minors of a symmetric matrix?
- Equivalently, when can you prescribe all the eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix and all of its principal submatrices?
- Algebraic reformulation: What is the defining ideal of the algebraic variety of principal minors of symmetric matrices?
- For $n \ge 3$ this is an overdetermined problem : $\binom{n+1}{2}$ versus 2^n .

Examples: 2×2 case

Define a (homogeneous) map: φ : symmetric matrices \rightarrow principal minors:

$$\varphi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & c\\ c & b\end{array}\right), t\right) = [t^2, ta, tb, ab - c^2]$$

When can we go backwards? Given [w, x, y, z] is there a 2 × 2 matrix that has these principal minors? Need to solve: (WLOG assume t = w = 1)

$$x = a$$

$$y = b$$

$$z = ab - c^{2} \Rightarrow c = \pm \sqrt{xy - z}$$

$$\varphi \left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} x & \pm \sqrt{xy - z} \\ \pm \sqrt{xy - z} & y \end{array} \right), 1 \right) = [1, x, y, z]$$

Conclude: Even in the $n \times n$ case, the 0×0 , 1×1 , and 2×2 minors *determine* a symmetric matrix up to the signs of the off-diagonal terms.

Examples 3×3 :

$$\varphi\left(\left(\begin{array}{ccc} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{13} & x_{23} & x_{33} \end{array}\right), t\right)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} t^3, t^2 x_{11}, t^2 x_{22}, t(x_{11} x_{22} - x_{12}^2), \\ t^2 x_{33}, t(x_{11} x_{33} - x_{13}^2), t(x_{22} x_{33} - x_{23}^2), \\ x_{11} x_{22} x_{33} + 2x_{12} x_{13} x_{23} - x_{11} x_{23}^2 - x_{22} x_{13}^2 - x_{33} x_{12}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Given $[X^{[000]}, X^{[100]}, X^{[010]}, X^{[110]}, X^{[001]}, X^{[101]}, X^{[011]}, X^{[111]}]$ is there a matrix that maps to it?

Count parameters: 7 versus 8 - there must be some relation that holds!

First result

Theorem (Holtz-Sturmfels '07)

All relations among the principal minors of a 3×3 matrix are generated by ... this beautiful degree 4 homogeneous polynomial:

$$\begin{array}{l} (X^{000})^2 (X^{111})^2 + (X^{100})^2 (X^{011})^2 + (X^{010})^2 (X^{101})^2 + (X^{110})^2 (X^{001})^2 \\ + 4X^{000} X^{110} X^{101} X^{011} + 4X^{100} X^{010} X^{001} X^{111} \\ - 2X^{000} X^{100} X^{011} X^{111} - 2X^{100} X^{001} X^{011} X^{101} \\ - 2X^{000} X^{010} X^{101} X^{111} - 2X^{100} X^{001} X^{110} X^{011} \\ - 2X^{000} X^{001} X^{110} X^{111} - 2X^{001} X^{010} X^{101} X^{110} \end{array}$$

- Cayley's hyperdeterminant of format $2 \times 2 \times 2$. It is invariant under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_3 \ltimes SL(2) \times SL(2) \times SL(2)!$ The Variety of Principal Minors of Symmetric Matrices

• The variety of principal minors of $n \times n$ symmetric matrices, Z_n , is defined by the principal minor map

where Δ_I(A) is the principal minor of A with rows indicated by I.
Q: Given a vector v of length 2ⁿ, how can you tell whether or not it arose in this way?

• A: Test whether v satisfies all the relations in $\mathcal{I}(Z_n)$.

Hidden Symmetry

Theorem (Landsberg, Holtz-Sturmfels)

 Z_n is invariant under the action of $G = \mathfrak{S}_n \ltimes SL(2)^{\times n}$.

- Fact: A variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a *G*-variety \Leftrightarrow the ideal $\mathcal{I}(X)$ is a *G*-module.
- Z_n is a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}(V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n)$, where each $V_i \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$.
- KEY POINT: We must study $\mathcal{I}(Z_n) \subset \text{Sym}(V_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n^*)$ as a *G*-module!
- Mantra: "Each irreducible module is either in or out!"

Slight Detour: A Geometric Proof of Symmetry

- For non-degenerate $\omega \in \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^n$, the Lagrangian Grassmannian is $Gr_{\omega}(n, 2n) = \{E \in Gr(n, 2n) \mid \omega(v, w) = 0 \; \forall v, w \in E\}.$
- $Gr_{\omega}(n,2n)$ is a homogeneous variety for Sp(2n).
- $Gr_{\omega}(n,2n)$ is the image of the rational map:

$$\psi : \mathbb{P}(S^2 \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}\Gamma_n \simeq \mathbb{P}^{\binom{2n}{n} - \binom{2n}{n-2} - 1}$$

 $\{symmetric \ matrix\} \quad \mapsto \quad \{vector \ of \ all \ nonredundant \ minors\}$

- The connection: Z_n is a linear projection of $Gr_{\omega}(n, 2n)$.
- Can use this projection to *find* symmetries of Z_n as a subgroup of Sp(2n).
- Try to find projections of homogeneous varieties to study other *G*-varieties (later in the talk).

Multilinear Algebra

- S^d(V₁^{*} ⊗ · · · ⊗ V_n^{*}) = homogeneous degree d polynomials on 2ⁿ variables. It is a module for G = SL(V₁) × · · · × SL(V_n).
- If we choose a basis $\{x_i^0, x_i^1\}$ of $V_i^* \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$ for each *i*, then $V_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n^*$ has the induced basis $x_1^{\epsilon_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^{\epsilon_n} =: X^I$.
- Then G acts on $V_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n^*$ by change of basis in each factor: If $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in G$, then

$$g.X^I = (g_1.x_1^{\epsilon_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (g_n.x_n^{\epsilon_n}),$$

and acts on $S^d(V_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n^*)$ by the induced action:

$$g.(X^IX^J\ldots X^K)=(g.X^I)(g.X^J)\ldots (g.X^K)$$

• We have defined the action on a basis of each module, so we can just extend by linearity to get the action on the whole module.

Representation Theory

• Want to study $\mathcal{I}_d(Z_n) \subset S^d(V_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n^*).$

• Each irreducible $\mathfrak{S}_n \ltimes SL(2)^{\times n}$ -module in $S^d(V_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n^*)$ is isomorphic to one indexed by partitions π_i of d of the form :

$$S_{\pi_1}S_{\pi_2}\dots S_{\pi_n} := \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} S_{\pi_{\sigma(1)}} V_1^* \otimes S_{\pi_{\sigma(2)}} V_2^* \otimes \dots \otimes S_{\pi_{\sigma(n)}} V_n^*$$

- Can use the combinatorial information π_1, \ldots, π_n to construct the module.
- If M is an irreducible G-module, then $M = \{G.v\}$, some vector v use this as often as possible.
- This gives a finite list of vectors to test for ideal membership!
- Also gives a way to produce many polynomials in $\mathcal{I}(Z_n)$ from one polynomial.

An Example

The module $S_{(2,2)}V\subset V^{\otimes 4}$ is one dimensional, and every vector is a scalar multiple of

$$h = 2X^{0011} - X^{1001} - X^{1010} - X^{0101} - X^{0110} + 2X^{1100}$$

To find a polynomial in $S_{(2,2)}V_1 \otimes S_{(2,2)}V_2 \otimes S_{(2,2)}V_3$, we need to compute $h \otimes h \otimes h$ in $V_1^{\otimes 4} \otimes V_2^{\otimes 4} \otimes V_3^{\otimes 4}$, but we want a polynomial in $S^4(V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3)$, so we just permute

$$V_1^{\otimes 4} \otimes V_2^{\otimes 4} \otimes V_3^{\otimes 4} \to (V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3)^{\otimes 4}$$

and symmetrize

$$(V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3)^{\otimes 4} \to S^4(V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3)$$

An Example

Finally, we get the result

$$\begin{split} (X^{000})^2 (X^{111})^2 + (X^{100})^2 (X^{011})^2 + (X^{010})^2 (X^{101})^2 + (X^{110})^2 (X^{001})^2 \\ &+ 4X^{000} X^{110} X^{101} X^{011} + 4X^{100} X^{010} X^{001} X^{111} \\ &- 2X^{000} X^{100} X^{011} X^{111} - 2X^{100} X^{001} X^{011} X^{101} \\ &- 2X^{000} X^{010} X^{101} X^{111} - 2X^{100} X^{001} X^{110} X^{011} \\ &- 2X^{000} X^{001} X^{110} X^{111} - 2X^{001} X^{010} X^{101} X^{110} \end{split}$$

In fact, this is Cayley's hyperdeterminant of format $2 \times 2 \times 2$! It's an irreducible degree 4 polynomial on 8 variables. It is invariant under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_3 \ltimes SL(2) \times SL(2) \times SL(2)$. It generates the module $S_{(2,2)}S_{(2,2)}S_{(2,2)}$. It is the single equation defining the hypersurface Z_3 .

Rephrasing of Previous Results

Theorem (Holtz-Sturmfels)

 $\mathcal{I}(Z_3)$ is generated in degree 4 by $S_{(2,2)}S_{(2,2)}S_{(2,2)}$ (Cayley's Hyperdeterminant of format $2 \times 2 \times 2$).

Theorem (H-S)

 $\mathcal{I}(Z_4)$ is generated in degree 4 by $S_{(4)}S_{(2,2)}S_{(2,2)}S_{(2,2)}$ (A hyperdeterminantal module).

Remark: $S_{(4)}S_{(2,2)}S_{(2,2)}S_{(2,2)}$ is the span of the *G*-orbit of the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ hyperdeterminant on the variables $X^{[***0]}$.

Conjecture (H-S)

 $\mathcal{I}(Z_n)$ is generated in degree 4 by $S_{(4)} \dots S_{(4)} S_{(2,2)} S_{(2,2)} S_{(2,2)}$ (the hyperdeterminantal module).

A Limit of the Computer's Usefulness

- For n = 3: A single irreducible degree 4 polynomial on 8 variables cuts out the irreducible hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^7 .
- For n = 4: 20 degree 4 polynomials on 16 variables.
 Macaulay2 ⇒ the ideal is prime and has the correct dimension.
 But Z₄ is an irreducible variety + commutative algebra ⇒ □.
- For n = 5: 250 degree 4 polynomials on 32 variables. Sadly, the computer melted.
- For n = 6: 2500 degree 4 polynomials on 64 variables. \bigcirc

New Results

Theorem (-)

Let $HD := \{\mathfrak{S}_n \ltimes SL(2)^{\times n} . hyp_{123}\} = S_{(4)} \dots S_{(4)}S_{(2,2)}S_{(2,2)}S_{(2,2)}$. The variety Z_n is cut out set-theoretically by the hyperdeterminantal module.

 $\mathcal{V}(HD) = Z_n.$

- To prove that $Z_n \subset \mathcal{V}(HD)$, show that hyp (a highest weight vector for the irreducible module HD) vanishes on every point of Z_n . This follows from the 3×3 case.
- To prove that $Z_n \supset \mathcal{V}(HD)$, need a geometric understanding of zero-sets of modules with similar properties to HD.

Outline of proof of main theorem

- Want to show $\mathcal{V}(HD) \subset \mathbb{Z}_n$ do induction on n. For $z \in \mathcal{V}(HD)$, attempt to construct a matrix $A \in S^2 \mathbb{C}^n$ so that $A \mapsto z \in \mathcal{V}(HD)$.
- Have already seen: the 0×0 , 1×1 and 2×2 principal minors of a symmetric matrix determine the matrix up to the signs of the off-diagonal terms.
- For $n \ge 4$ can show that if two symmetric matrices have the same $0 \times 0 \dots 3 \times 3$ principal minors, then 4×4 principal minors agree also. Then iterate.
- We show that points in $\mathcal{V}(HD)$ have essentially the same property: *i.e.* if $z, w \in \mathcal{V}(HD)$ and $z_I = w_I$ for all $I \neq [1, ..., 1]$ then z = w.
- Main Tool: a geometric characterization of augmented modules.

Characterizing the zero set of $\mathcal{V}(HD)$ via augmentation

- Notice that for case $n, HD = \underbrace{S_{(4)} \dots S_{(4)}}_{n-3} S_{(2,2)} S_{(2,2)} S_{(2,2)}$ and for case $n+1, HD = \underbrace{S_{(4)} \dots S_{(4)}}_{n-2} S_{(2,2)} S_{(2,2)} S_{(2,2)}$ is still degree 4.
- What can we say about zero set of an augmented ideal $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I}_d(X) \otimes S^d V^*)$ based on $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I}_d(X))$?

Lemma (inspired by Landsberg-Manivel lemma on prolongation) Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}W$ and let $\tilde{X} = \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I}_d(X))$ (notation).

$$\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I}_d(X) \otimes S^d V^*) = \bigcup_{L \subset \tilde{X}} \mathbb{P}(L \otimes V),$$

where $L \subset \tilde{X}$ are linear subspaces.

19 / 25

What does this buy us?

Consequence

Assume that
$$HD = \bigoplus_i HD_i \otimes S^4 V_i \subset S^4(V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n)$$
 and $V_i \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$,
then
 $\mathcal{V}(HD) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \left(\bigcup_{L \subset V(HD_i)} \mathbb{P}(L \otimes V_i) \right).$

- Suppose $z \in \mathcal{V}(HD) = \mathcal{V}(\bigoplus_i HD_i \otimes S^4 V_i)$, and assume for induction that $\mathcal{V}(HD_i) \simeq Z_{n-1}$.
- Then our geometric realization gives n different expressions for z,

$$z = \varphi([A^{(i)}, t^{(i)}]) \otimes x_i^0 + \varphi([B^{(i)}, s^{(i)}]) \otimes x_i^1,$$

where $A^{(i)}, B^{(i)} \in S^2 \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ and $\{x_i^0, x_i^1\} = V_i$.

• We can use this information (+ technical details) to build an $n \times n$ matrix A so that $\varphi([A, t]) = z$, and this proves the theorem.

The tangential variety to the Segre product

- The Segre Variety, i.e the variety of rank one tensors is $Seg(\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}V_n) = \{ [v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n] \mid v_i \in V_i \} \subset \mathbb{P}(V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n).$
- If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a smooth variety, define the tangential variety $\tau(X) \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ by

$$\tau(X) := \bigcup_{x \in X} \tilde{T}_x X$$

• $\tau(Seg(\mathbb{P}V_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}V_n)) = \{ [\sum_{i=1}^n v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v'_i \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n] \mid v_i, v'_i \in V_i \}.$

• $\tau(Seg(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^1))$ is a $(SL(2)^{\times n}) \ltimes \mathfrak{S}_n$ -variety. dim = $2n \ll \binom{n+1}{2} \Rightarrow$ too small to be equal to Z_n for $n \ge 4$.

• $\tau(Seg(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^1)) \subset Z_n$ for $n \ge 3$, with equality for n = 3.

Exclusive rank

The standard notion of rank is destroyed by the $SL(2)^{\times n}$ action.

For a matrix A, the minor $\Delta_J^I(A)$ is said to be *exclusive* if $I \cap J = \emptyset$, *i.e.* the minor has no coincidental row and column indices.

The matrix A has *exclusive-rank* $(E\text{-rank}) \leq k$ if all of its $k + 1 \times k + 1$ exclusive minors vanish. (Laplace expansion implies uniqueness.)

Proposition

The variety of principal minors of symmetric matrices with E-rank $\leq k$ is $(SL(2)^{\times n}) \ltimes \mathfrak{S}_n$ -invariant.

Idea of proof: Can use the projection of the Lagrangian Grassmannian just like the case of Z_n . Find that each exclusive minor is fixed by the action of $SL(2)^{\times n}$ when viewed as a subgroup of SP(2n) acting on the space of all minors. This symmetry "survives" the projection to Z_n .

Principal minors of low E-rank matrices

Proposition

The image of the matrices with E-rank-0 under φ is

 $Seg(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^1).$

The image of the symmetric matrices with E-rank ≤ 1 under φ is

 $\tau(Seg(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^1)).$

Rough idea of proof: It is easy to show that a vector of principal minors of an E-rank-1 matrix is a point on the tangential variety. To go the other way, we show that every point on the tangential variety is in the $SL(2)^{\times n}$ -orbit of the set of principal minors of rank-1 symmetric matrices (usual rank).

The set of principal minors of E-rank ≤ 1 symmetric matrices is an irreducible $SL(2)^{\times n}$ -invariant variety of the same dimension $\Rightarrow \Box$.

The Landsberg-Weyman Conjecture

Let V_i be complex vector spaces and let V_i^* be their dual spaces.

Conjecture (Conjecture 7.6. Landsberg-Weyman)

 $I(\tau (Seg(\mathbb{P}V_1^* \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}V_n^*)))$ is generated by the quadrics in $S^2(V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n)$ which have at least four \bigwedge^2 factors, the cubics with four $S_{2,1}$ factors and all other factors $S_{3,0}$, and the quartics with three $S_{2,2}$'s and all other factors $S_{4,0}$.

Theorem (-)

 $\tau (Seg(\mathbb{P}V_1^* \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}V_n^*))$ is cut out set-theoretically by the cubics in $S^3(V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n)$ with four $S_{2,1}$ factors and all other factors $S_{3,0}$, and the quartics in $S^4(V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n)$ with three $S_{2,2}$'s and all other factors $S_{4,0}$.

Proof of the Landsberg-Weyman Conjecture

- A standard argument: Because all of the modules of polynomials occurring have partitions with no more than 2 parts, it suffices to prove the case with all \mathbb{P}^1 's.
- The degree four equations are actually the hyperdeterminantal module HD! So by using the result $\mathcal{V}(HD) = Z_n$, we can proceed by showing that $\tau(Seg(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^1))$ is precisely the subvariety of Z_n cut out by the cubics in the ideal: $S_{2,1}S_{2,1}S_{2,1}S_{3}\ldots S_3$.
- We directly computed the cubics and pulled them back to the space of symmetric matrices via the principal minor map.
- The result was the set of 2×2 exclusive minors! But we just showed that the image of the E-rank-1 symmetric matrices under the principal minor map is the tangential variety.

Thanks!