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## Polynomial Waring decomposition

Let $V \cong \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, f \in S^{d} V$ - homogeneous polynomial.
Waring decomposition: $f=\sum_{i=1}^{r} c_{i} v_{i}^{d}$, with $c_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$, and $v_{i} \in V$.

## Goals:

- Algorithms that quickly decompose low rank forms. (naive algorithms always exist, but are infeasible)
- Uniform treatment (Eigenvectors and vector bundles).


## Non-Goal:

- One algorithm to decompose them all (NP-hard! -[Lim-Hillar'12]).


## Motivation:

- CDMA-like communication scheme:

Send (the coefficients of) $f=\sum_{i=1}^{r} c_{i} v_{i}^{d}$.
Recover $v_{i}$ uniquely.

## Main Results

## Theorem (O.-Ottaviani '13) <br> Let $f \in S^{d} \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, with $d=2 m+1, n+1 \geq 4$, and general among forms of rank $\leq r$. If $r \leq\binom{ m+n}{n}$ then the Koszul Flattening Algorithm produces the unique Waring decomposition.

We implemented our algorithm in Macaulay2 and you can download it from the ancillary files accompanying the arXiv version of our paper.

Algebraic Geometry helps Engineering: generic rank (/C

Theorem (Campbell 1891, Terracini 1916, Alexander-Hirschowitz 1995)

The general $f$ inS $S^{d} \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, d \geq 3$ has rank

$$
\left\lceil\frac{\binom{n+d}{d}}{n+1}\right\rceil, \quad \text { the generic rank, except }
$$

- $2 \leq n \leq 4, d=4$ - generic rank is $\binom{n+2}{2}$,
- $(n, d)=(4,3)-$ generic rank is 8 .


## Algebraic Geometry helps Engineering: Uniqueness $(/ \mathbb{C})$

Theorem (... A-H, '95 )
The general $f$ inS $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, d \geq 3$ has the generic rank $\left[\frac{\binom{n+d}{n+1}}{n+1}\right\rceil$, except

- $2 \leq n \leq 4, d=4$ - generic rank is $\binom{n+2}{2}$,
- $(n, d)=(4,3)-$ generic rank is 8 .

Theorem (Sylvester 1851, Chiantini-Ciliberto, Mella, Ballico 2002-2005)
The general $f \in S^{d} \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ among the forms of subgeneric rank has a unique decomposition, except

- $2 \leq n \leq 4, d=4, r=\binom{n+2}{2}-1, \quad \infty$-ly many decomps. defective
- $(n, d)=(4,3), r=7, \quad \infty$-ly many decomps.
- rank 9 in $S^{6} \mathbb{C}^{3}, \quad 2$ decomps.
- rank 8 in $S^{4} \mathbb{C}^{4}$, 2 decomps. defective weakly defective weakly defective


## Algebraic Geometry helps Engineering: Non-Uniqueness

 (/C)Expected: If $\frac{\binom{n+d}{n+1}}{}$ is an integer, then uniqueness fails for the general form.
Mella showed in 2006 that when $d>n$ this is true.
The only known failures are (and we give a uniform proof):

- $S^{2 m+1} \mathbb{C}^{2} \quad$ rank $m+1$
- $S^{5} \mathbb{C}^{3} \quad$ rank 7
- $S^{3} \mathbb{C}^{4} \quad$ rank 5

Hilbert-Palatini-Richmond 1902,
Sylvester Pentahedral Theorem.

## From equations to decompositions

General approach:

- Find nice (determinantal) equations for secant varieties
- Get an algorithm for decomposition.

A Flattenings / Catalecticants / truncated moment matrices
B Koszul Flattenings and eigenvectors of tensors

## Koszul Flattenings: Examples / Overview

Equations of secant varieties from Koszul flattenings:

- Strassen:
- Toeplitz:
- Aronhold:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{r}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{C}^{3} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{3} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{3}\right) \\
\sigma_{r}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \nu_{2}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}\right)\right) & \subset & \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{C}^{3} \otimes S^{2} \mathbb{C}^{4}\right) \\
\sigma_{r}\left(\nu_{3}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)\right) & \subset & \mathbb{P}\left(S^{3} \mathbb{C}^{3}\right)
\end{array}
$$

- Cartwright-Erman-O.'11:

$$
\sigma_{r}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \nu_{2}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{C}^{3} \otimes S^{2} \mathbb{C}^{n+1}\right), r \leq 5
$$

- Landsberg-Ottaviani 2012: Many more cases, much more general.

Our decomposition algorithms via Koszul Flattenings

- Sylvester Pentahedral Thm.:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
S^{3} \mathbb{C}^{4}, & r \leq 5 \\
S^{5} \mathbb{C}^{3}, & r \leq 7, \\
S^{2 m+1} \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, & r \leq\binom{ n+m}{n}
\end{array}
$$

Review: The catalecticant algorithm via an example
Decompose $f=7 x^{3}-30 x^{2} y+42 x y^{2}-19 y^{3} \in S^{3}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ :
Compute the flattening:

$$
S^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{*} \xrightarrow{C_{f}} \mathbb{C}^{2},
$$

$C_{f}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}7 & -10 & 14 \\ -10 & 14 & -19\end{array}\right)$, with kernel: $\left\{\left(\begin{array}{l}6 \\ 7 \\ 2\end{array}\right)\right\}$.
The kernel $K$ (in the space of polynomials on the dual) is spanned by

$$
6 \partial_{x}^{2}+7 \partial_{x} \partial_{y}+2 \partial_{y}^{2}=\left(2 \partial_{x}+\partial_{y}\right)\left(3 \partial_{x}+2 \partial_{y}\right) .
$$

Notice $\left(2 \partial_{x}+\partial_{y}\right)$ kills $(-x+2 y)$ and $(-x+2 y)^{d}$ for all $d$. Also, $\left(3 \partial_{x}+2 \partial_{y}\right)$ kills $(2 x-3 y)$ and $(2 x-3 y)^{d}$ for all $d$. $K$ annihilates precisely (up to scalar) $\{(-x+2 y),(2 x-3 y)\}$.

Therefore $f=c_{1}(-x+2 y)^{3}+c_{2}(2 x-3 y)^{3}$.
Solve: $c_{1}=c_{2}=1$.

## Catalecticant algorithm in general [larrobino-Kanev 1999]

 Input: $f \in S^{d}(V) \quad V=\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.(1) Construct $C_{f}^{m}=C_{f}, \quad m=\left\lceil\frac{d}{2}\right\rceil$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{f}^{m}: S^{m} V^{*} & \longrightarrow S^{d-m} V \\
x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{m}} & \longmapsto \frac{\partial^{m} f}{\partial_{x_{i_{1}}} \cdots \partial_{x_{i_{m}}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Compute ker $C_{f}$, note $\operatorname{Rank}(f) \geq \operatorname{rank}\left(C_{f}\right)$.
(3) Compute $Z^{\prime}=z e r o s\left(\operatorname{ker} C_{f}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - if } \# Z^{\prime}=\infty, \text { fail } \\
& \text { - else } Z^{\prime}=\left\{\left[v_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[v_{s}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(9) Solve

$$
f=\sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{i} v_{i}^{d}, \quad c_{i} \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Output: The unique Waring decomposition of $f$.

## Catalecticant algorithm in general [larrobino-Kanev 1999]

The catalecticant algorithm appears in work of Sylvester, larrobino-Kanev, Brachat-Comon-Mourrain-Tsigaridas, Bernardi-Idá-Gimigliano. larrobino and Kanev gave bounds for the success of the catalecticant algorithm. Here is a slight improvement:

## Theorem (O.-Ottaviani 2013)

Let $\sum_{i=1}^{r} v_{i}^{d}=f$ be general among forms of rank $r$ in $S^{d} V$. Set $z_{i}:=\left[v_{i}\right]$, $Z:=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{r}\right\}$ and let $m=\left\lceil\frac{d}{2}\right\rceil$.
(1) If $d$ is even and $r \leq\binom{ n+m}{n}-n-1$, or if $d$ is odd and $\leq\binom{ n+m-1}{n}$,
then $\operatorname{ker} C_{f}=I_{Z, m} \quad$ (subspace of deg. $m$ polys vanishing on $Z$ ).
$\Rightarrow$ the catalecticant algorithm succeeds with $Z=Z^{\prime}=\operatorname{zeros}\left(\operatorname{ker} C_{f}\right)$.
(2) If $d$ is even $n \geq 3$ and $r=\binom{n+m}{n}-n, Z \subsetneq Z^{\prime}$ is possible.
$\Rightarrow$ the catalecticant algorithm succeeds after finitely many checks.

## Why the catalecticant algorithm works

Given $f \in S^{d} V$, we have the catalecticant:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{f}^{m}: S^{m} V^{*} \longrightarrow S^{d-m} V \\
& x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{m}} \longmapsto \frac{\partial^{m} f}{\partial \partial_{x_{1}} \cdots \partial_{x_{i_{m}}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
f \text { has rank } 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{rank} C_{f}=1 .
$$

Rank conditions: subadditivity of matrix rank implies that ( $f$ has rank $r \Rightarrow$ rank $C_{f} \leq r$ ).

The zero set of the kernel is polar to the linear forms in the decomposition:
Notice that $\frac{\partial}{\partial(\alpha x+\beta y)} \cdot(\beta x-\alpha y)^{d}=0\left(\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right.$ is apolar to $\left.\beta x-\alpha y\right)$.
In the case of binary forms, a general elt. $F$ of the kernel factors (FTA). i.e. $F=l_{1}^{\perp} \cdots l_{r}^{\perp}$ kills all linear forms in decomposition.

There exist $c_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f=\sum_{i=1}^{r} c_{i} l_{i}^{d}$ if and only if $I_{1}^{\perp} \cdots I_{r}^{\perp} f=0$. One inclusion is obvious, the other is by dimension count.

## Eigenvectors of tensors

An essential ingredient is the notion of an eigenvector of a tensor.
The eigenvector equation for matrices: $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$,

$$
M v=\lambda v, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad M(v) \wedge v=0
$$

## Definition

Let $M \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{m} V, \Lambda^{a} V\right) . v \in V$ is an eigenvector of the tensor $M$ if

$$
M\left(v^{m}\right) \wedge v=0 .
$$

When $a=m=1$ this is the classical definition.
When $a=1$, [Lim'05] and [Qi'05] independently introduced this notion. Further generalizations: Ottaviani-Sturmfels, Sam (Kalman varieties), and Qi et.al. (Spectral theory of tensors).

## The number of eigenvectors of different types of tensors

## Theorem (O.-Ottaviani '13)

For a general $M \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{m} \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, \Lambda^{a} \mathbb{C}^{n+1}\right)$ the number $e(M)$ of eigenvectors is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e(M)=m \text {, when } n=1 \text { and } a \in\{0,2\}, \\
& e(M)=\infty \text {, when } n>1 \text { and } a \in\{0, n+1\} \text {, } \\
& \text { (classical) } \\
& e(M)=\frac{m^{n+1}-1}{m-1}, \quad \text { when } a=1 \text { [CS'10], } \\
& e(M)=0, \quad \text { for } 2 \leq a \leq n-2 \text {, } \\
& e(M)=\frac{(m+1)^{n+1}+(-1)^{n}}{m+2}, \quad \text { for } a=n-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Our result includes a result of Cartwright-Sturmfels. Our proofs rely on the simple observation that the a Chern class computation for the appropriate vector bundle gives the number of eigenvectors.

## The Koszul complex and Koszul matrices

The Koszul complex arises via the minimal free resolution of the maximal ideal $\left\langle x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$. Let $V$ be the span of the $x_{i}$.

$$
0 \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{n+1} V \xrightarrow{k_{n+1}} \bigwedge^{n} V \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{k_{3}} \bigwedge^{2} V \xrightarrow{k_{2}} \bigwedge^{1} V \xrightarrow{k_{1}} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Some examples:

$$
\text { for } n=2, k_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
w & x & y
\end{array}\right), k_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-x & -y & 0 \\
w & 0 & -y \\
0 & w & x
\end{array}\right) \quad k_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
y \\
-x \\
w
\end{array}\right) \text {, }
$$

$$
\text { for } n=3, k_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
w & x & y & z
\end{array}\right), k_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
-x & -y & 0 & -z & 0 & 0 \\
w & 0 & -y & 0 & -z & 0 \\
0 & w & x & 0 & 0 & -z \\
0 & 0 & 0 & w & x & y
\end{array}\right), \ldots
$$

Note: these complexes are exact.

## Sections of vector bundles to eigenvectors of tensors

 Construct a map (tensor a Koszul map with a catalecticant map)$$
A_{f}: \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{m} V, \Lambda^{a} V\right) \longmapsto \operatorname{Hom}\left(\bigwedge^{n-a} V, S^{d-m-1} V\right)
$$

$M \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{m} V, \Lambda^{a} V\right), v$ is an eigenvector of $M$ iff $M\left(v^{m}\right) \wedge v=0$.
Lemma
$M \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{m} V, \Lambda^{a} V\right)$,
(1) $v$ is an eigenvector of $M$ iff $M \in \operatorname{ker} A_{f}$.
(2) Let $f=\sum_{i=1}^{r} v_{i}^{d}$. If each $v_{i}$ is an eigenvector of $M$, then $M \in \operatorname{ker} A_{f}$.

## Lemma

Let $Q$ be the quotient bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$.
(1) The fiber of $\bigwedge^{a} Q$ at $x=[v]$ is isomorphic to Hom ([ $\left.v^{m}\right], \Lambda^{a} V /\left\langle v \wedge \Lambda^{a-1} V\right\rangle$.
(2) the section $s_{M}$ vanishes if and only if $v$ is an eigenvector of $M$.

## Koszul Algorithm examples: HPR Quinitics

Let $V=\mathbb{C}^{3}$ - a general form $f \in S^{5} \mathbb{C}^{3}$ has rank 7 .
Catalecticants:

$$
C_{f}: S^{3} V^{*} \longrightarrow S^{2} V
$$

is a $6 \times 10$ matrix - with max rank 6 , so too small to detect rank 7 .
Koszul Flattening:
$S^{5} V \subset S^{2} V \otimes V \otimes S^{2} V \leftarrow S^{2} V \otimes \Lambda^{2} V \otimes V^{*} \otimes S^{2} V$.
Get a map:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{f}: S^{2} V^{*} \otimes \Lambda^{2} V^{*} & \longrightarrow V^{*} \otimes S^{2} V \\
\operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{2} V, V\right) & \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(V, S^{2} V\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$A_{f}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}-x & -y & 0 \\ w & 0 & -y \\ 0 & w & x\end{array}\right) \otimes C_{f}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}-C_{f_{x}} & -C_{f_{y}} & 0 \\ C_{f_{w}} & 0 & -C_{f_{y}} \\ 0 & C_{f_{w}} & C_{f_{x}}\end{array}\right)$,
where $C_{f_{z}}$ is the $6 \times 6$ catalecticant of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$.

## Koszul Algorithm examples: HPR Quinitics

Koszul Flattening:
$S^{5} V \subset S^{2} V \otimes V \otimes S^{2} V \leftarrow S^{2} V \otimes \bigwedge^{2} V \otimes V^{*} \otimes S^{2} V$.
Get a map:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A_{f}: S^{2} V^{*} \otimes \Lambda^{2} V^{*} \\
\operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{2} V, V\right) \\
A_{f}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-x & -y & 0 \\
w & 0 & -y \\
0 & w & x
\end{array}\right) \otimes V_{f}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-C_{f_{x}} & -C_{f_{y}} & 0 \\
C_{f_{w}} & 0 & -C_{f_{y}} \\
0 & C_{f_{w}} & C_{f_{x}}
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}
$$

- $A_{f}$ is skew-symmetrizable, so even has rank.
- If $f$ has rank $7, A_{f}$ has rank $\leq 14$.
- The $16 \times 16$ Pfaffians vanish on the locus of border rank 7 forms.
- The general $M$ in $\operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{2} V, V\right)$ has 7 eigenvectors, [Cartwright-Sturmfels].
- By our theorem, the 7 eigenvector of a general $M \in \operatorname{ker} A_{f}$ are the linear forms in the decomposition of $f$ (up to scalars).


## Computing eigenvectors of tensors

In the HPR example, had

$$
A_{f}: S^{2} V^{*} \otimes V^{\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-c_{f_{x}} & -c_{f_{y}} & 0 \\
c_{w} & 0 \\
0 & c_{f_{w}} & -c_{f y} \\
c_{f_{x}}
\end{array}\right)} V^{*} \otimes S^{2} V,
$$

with $A_{f}$, an $18 \times 18$ matrix composed of $6 \times 6$ blocks. An element of the kernel can be blocked as $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}\right)$, where $h_{i}$ are quadrics in $S^{2} V^{*}$ by viewing $S^{2} V^{*} \otimes V$ as $\left(S^{2} V^{*} \otimes\langle x\rangle\right) \bigoplus\left(S^{2} V^{*} \otimes\langle y\rangle\right) \bigoplus\left(S^{2} V^{*} \otimes\langle z\rangle\right)$.

The 2-minors of $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}h_{1} & h_{2} & h_{3} \\ x & y & z\end{array}\right)$ define the locus of eigenvectors.
In the general case the construction is similar: concatenate the (blocked) elements of the kernel with a Koszul matrix and compute the zero set of the minors.

## Koszul Algorithm examples: Sylvester Pentahedral

 Let $V=\mathbb{C}^{4}$. The general $f \in S^{3} V$ has rank 5 . The most-square catalecticant is $10 \times 4$, so not big enough to detect rank 5 .Koszul flattening: $f \in S^{3} V \subset V \otimes V \otimes V \leftarrow V \otimes \bigwedge^{2} V \otimes V^{*} \otimes V$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
A_{f}: V^{*} \otimes \bigwedge^{2} V^{*} & \longrightarrow \\
H & V^{*} \otimes V \\
& H o m\left(\mathbb{C}^{4}, \bigwedge^{2} \mathbb{C}^{4}\right)
\end{array}\right] \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{C}^{4}, \mathbb{C}^{4}\right), ~\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
-x & -y & 0 & -z & 0 & 0 \\
A_{f}=k_{2} \otimes C_{f}, \text { where } k_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
w & 0 & -y & 0 & -z & 0 \\
0 & w & x & 0 & 0 & -z \\
0 & 0 & 0 & w & x & y
\end{array}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

General element of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{C}^{4}, \bigwedge^{2} \mathbb{C}^{4}\right)$ has 5 eigenvectors!
The eigenvectors of a general element of the kernel provide the linear forms in the Waring decomposition.

## Koszul Flattening Algorithm

## Algorithm

Input $f \in S^{d} V, V=\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.
(1) Construct $A_{f}: \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{m} V, V\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda^{n-1} V, S^{d-m-1} V\right)$.
(3) Compute ker $A_{f}$. Note $\operatorname{Rank}(f) \geq \operatorname{rank}\left(A_{f}\right) / n$.

- Set $Z^{\prime}=$ common eigenvectors of a basis of $\operatorname{ker} A_{f}$.
a) if $\# Z^{\prime}=\infty$, fail.
b) else $Z^{\prime}=\left\{\left[v_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[v_{s}\right]\right\}$.
(-Solve $f=\sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{i} v_{i}^{d}$.
Output: unique Waring decomposition of $f$.


## Success of the Koszul Flattening Algorithm

Here are some effective bounds for the success of our algorithm.
Theorem (O.-Ottaviani'13)
Let $n=2, d=2 m+1, f=\sum_{i=1}^{r} v_{i}^{d}$, and set $z_{i}=\left[v_{i}\right], Z=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{r}\right\}$. The Koszul Flattening algorithm succeeds when
(1) $2 r \leq m^{2}+3 m+4$,
(2) $2 r \leq m^{2}+4 m+2$ (after finitely many tries).
and if $n \geq 3$, The Koszul Flattening algorithm succeeds when
(1) n-even, $r \leq\binom{ n+m}{n}$ (eigenvectors of $\operatorname{ker} A_{f}=Z^{\prime}=Z$ ),
(2) $n$-odd, $r \leq\binom{ n+m}{n}$ (e.-vects of $\operatorname{ker} A_{f} \cap$ e.vects of $\left.\left(\operatorname{lm}\left(A_{f}\right)\right)^{\perp}=Z\right)$,
(3) $n=3, r \leq \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}(m+4)(m+3)(m+1)-m^{2} / 2-m-8\right)$
... set $a=2$ in the algorithm.

## General Vector Bundle Method

Consider a line bundle $L$ giving the embedding $X \xrightarrow{|L|} \mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}(X, L)\right)=\mathbb{P} W$. Let $E \longrightarrow X$ be a vector bundle on $X$. We get natural maps:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{0}(E) \otimes H^{0}\left(E^{*} \otimes L\right) & \longrightarrow H^{0}(L), \\
H^{0}(E) \otimes H^{0}(L)^{*} & \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(E^{*} \otimes L\right)^{*}, \\
H^{0}(E) & \xrightarrow[A_{f}]{ } H^{0}\left(E^{*} \otimes L\right)^{*},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{f}$ depends linearly on $H^{0}(L)^{*}$.
Get the matrix presentation via Koszul matrices when $E=\Lambda^{a} Q$, where $Q$ is (at twist of) the quotient bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$.

## Proposition (Landsberg-Ottaviani '12)

Let $f=\sum_{i=1}^{r} v_{i}$, and set $z_{i}=\left[v_{i}\right] \in X \subset \mathbb{P} W, Z=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{r}\right\}$.
Then $H^{0}\left(I_{Z} \otimes E\right) \subset \operatorname{ker} A_{f}$, with equality if $H^{0}\left(E^{*} \otimes L\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(E \otimes L_{\mid Z}\right)$, and $H^{0}\left(I_{Z} \otimes E^{*} \otimes L\right) \subset\left(I m A_{f}\right)^{\perp}$, with equality if $H^{0}(E) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(E_{\mid Z}\right)$.

## General Vector Bundle Method

Consider a line bundle $L$ giving the embedding $X \xrightarrow{|L|} \mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}(X, L)\right)=\mathbb{P} W$. Let $E \longrightarrow X$ be a vector bundle on $X$.

Theorem (O.-Ottaviani'13)
Let $f=\sum_{i=1}^{r} v_{i}^{d}$, and set $z_{i}=\left[v_{i}\right] \in X \subset \mathbb{P} W, Z=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{r}\right\}$. Assume $\operatorname{rank}\left(A_{f}\right)=k \cdot \operatorname{Rank}(E)$ and

$$
H^{0}\left(I_{Z} \otimes E\right) \otimes H^{0}\left(I_{Z} \otimes E^{*} \otimes L\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(I_{Z}^{2} \otimes L\right)
$$

is surjective.
If $X$ is not weakly $k$-defective, then the common base locus of $\operatorname{ker}\left(A_{f}\right)$ and $\operatorname{lm}\left(A_{f}\right)^{\perp}$ is given by $Z$ (so one can reconstruct $Z$ from $f$ ).

We use this general result to prove the specific results for each of our algorithms.

